

ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

The Proximate Determinants of Fertility in Eswatini

DOI: 10.29063/ajrh2019/v23i2.7

Garikayi B. Chemhaka^{1,2*} and Clifford O. Odimegwu¹

Demography and Population Studies Programme, Schools of Social Sciences and Public Health, University of the Witwatersrand, Private Bag 3, Wits 2050, South Africa¹; Department of Statistics and Demography, University of Eswatini, Private Bag 4, Kwaluseni, Eswatini (formerly Swaziland)²

*For Correspondence: Email: Email: bgchem@gmail.com; Phone: +26825170000

Abstract

Accelerating downwards the fertility rate remains a priority issue for developmental planning of any country. Fertility transition for most African countries has been slow since attaining their independence. In a period of almost four decades Eswatini experienced high fertility above replacement level fertility of 2.1; total fertility rate has declined from 6 to 4 children per woman from 1968 to 2007. This paper examines the impact of each proximate factor (contraception, postpartum infecundability, abortion and sexual activity) on fertility. Using the cross-sectional data from the 2006-7 Eswatini Demographic and Health Survey (DHS), the revised Bongaarts proximate determinants model of fertility was applied at national level and the analysis was extended to observe educational variation among women aged 15-49. The analysis showed that contraception had the greatest impact of fertility reduction, then sexual activity, postpartum infecundability and induced abortion. Women's educational level had huge negative influence on fertility and positive implication on reproductive choice of using contraception, delaying sexual activity/marriage and childbearing. The results guide on selection of potential social variables amenable to policy aimed at improving women's reproductive behaviour in Eswatini through better educational attainment. (*Afr J Reprod Health* 2019; 23[2]:65-75).

Keywords: Abortion, contraception, postpartum infecundability, sexual activity, education

Résumé

Accélérer à la baisse le taux de fécondité reste une question prioritaire pour la planification du développement de tout pays. La transition de la fécondité a été lente pour la plupart des pays africains depuis leur indépendance. Au cours d'une période de près de quatre décennies, Eswatini a connu une fécondité élevée supérieure à 2, 1; le taux de fécondité total est passé de 6 à 4 enfants par femme de 1968 à 2007. Cet article examine l'impact de chaque facteur immédiat (contraception, non fécondabilité post-partum, avortement et activité sexuelle) sur la fertilité. En utilisant les données transversales de l'Enquête démographique et de santé (EDS) 2006-2007 d'Eswatini, le modèle révisé de déterminants immédiats de Bongaarts de la fécondité a été appliqué au niveau national et l'analyse a été étendue pour observer les variations de l'éducation chez les femmes âgées de 15 à 49 ans. L'analyse a montré que la contraception avait le plus grand impact sur la réduction de la fécondité, puis sur l'activité sexuelle, sur la non-fécondabilité post-partum et sur l'avortement provoqué. Le niveau d'éducation des femmes avait une influence négative considérable sur la fécondité et une incidence positive sur le choix en matière de procréation de recourir à la contraception, de retarder les activités sexuelles / le mariage et la maternité. Les résultats donnent des indications sur la sélection des variables sociales potentielles susceptibles de faire l'objet d'une politique visant à améliorer le comportement des femmes en matière de procréation à Eswatini grâce à un meilleur niveau d'instruction. (*Afr J Reprod Health* 2019; 23[2]: 65-75).

Mots-clés: Avortement, contraception, non fécondabilité post-partum, activité sexuelle, éducation

Introduction

Since the 1960s, fertility has been declining for most countries in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) attributable to several socioeconomic, ecological and proximate factors^{1,2}. The latter factors were first expounded as a set of eleven factors by Davis

and Blake³ and further compressed into four; marriage, contraception, postpartum infecundability (postpartum abstinence and breastfeeding) and induced abortion^{4,5}. Through these proximate determinants (individually or in combination) other remote factors can have influence on fertility. Both proximate and remote

African Journal of Reproductive Health June 2019; 23 (2):65

factors are of keen interest among population researchers including policy makers for monitoring women's reproductive behaviour^{5,6}.

The reproductive health and rights enshrined in the International Conference on Population and Development (ICPD) Programme of Action adopted in 1994⁶ often are compromised in high fertility regimes for women and thereby limiting their socioeconomic progress in employment and educational opportunities, to mention a few. In SSA, women are regarded as minors and their reproductive choices, including determining family size, often are subjugated by their partners/husbands and/or through kinship ties⁷. Evidence indicates that the desire of limiting, spacing or stopping childbearing among women in SSA is poised with challenges. One multicountry study, for example, indicates in overall that 30 per cent of women exceeded their desired number of children⁸. The magnitude of women exceeding their ideal parities was much higher in Swaziland (now Eswatini) and Rwanda estimated at 52 and 54 per cent, respectively⁸. Eswatini has had relatively high fertility (above replacement-level fertility of 2.1 births per woman) estimated at 4.0 in 2007⁹ and declining to 3.3 in 2014¹⁰.

The ICPD reproductive behaviour framework sets out key principles on women's empowerment including control of family size, when to marry, get pregnant and equity in health⁶, which are typified by the four proximate determinants of fertility proposed by Bongaarts⁴. Rather than statements of action of theoretical importance espoused in the ICPD framework, the Bongaarts framework has stimulated fertility behaviour research based on a widely applied simple quantifiable model used in this study. Since empowered women, notably through education, tend to achieve their desired fertility^{1,11,12}, both ICPD and Bongaarts frameworks provide a basis of assessing women's fertility experience in attempt to improve their fertility control or behaviour in an environment free from abuse or coercion.

Education, an important and amenable policy variable in SSA, has rather a complex and ultimate negative relationship with fertility modifiable through a set of proximate determinants of fertility¹³. The benefits of

education on fertility reduction are well articulated including exposing women to ideas of, and means to birth control or family planning^{12,14,15}. However, accelerating fertility transition for SSA countries remains a challenge due to a significant proportion of illiterate women^{14,16}. This is exacerbated in pronatalist contexts where traditional cultural values sanction childbearing and the timing of fertility change^{12,17}.

This paper seeks to assess the impact of proximate determinants of fertility (marriage, abortion, contraception and postpartum infecundability) on fertility level among women in Eswatini (formerly Swaziland) in relation to their educational status based on the Bongaarts model. The latter framework was applied in a prior study by Warren *et al*¹⁸ to the 1988 Family Health Survey (FHS), and therefore expanded in this study to the 2006-7 Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) data for Eswatini. Thus this study using an international comparable DHS data set, extends the measurement and conceptualisation of proximate variables in the original Bongaarts model as espoused by Stover¹⁹ and Johnston and Westoff²⁰ on concepts such as sexual activity and abortion. In the earlier study, Warren *et al*¹⁸ found that breastfeeding/abstinence was most influential in suppressing fertility. Induced abortion was not estimated but rather involuntary infertility which has a negligible fertility inhibiting effect⁵.

Methods

Data

This study utilised publicly available cross-sectional data from the 2006-7 Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) for Eswatini, which is a nationally representative sample of 4,987 women aged 15-49⁹. Of the 4,987 women respondents, 2,069 were married/in union and 2,608 were at risk of childbearing, that is being sexually active in the past month, currently pregnant and abstaining postpartum as defined by Stover¹⁹. The survey serves as the only DHS survey formally conducted in Eswatini by the Central Statistics Office in collaboration with Macro International Inc. The data collection procedures and management including sampling and ethics are explained in the 2006-7 Eswatini DHS report⁹. The data parameters

required as inputs to the Bongaarts framework on proximate determinants of fertility were extracted using StatCompiler²¹. The DHS survey collected demographic, socioeconomic and health data for women but not limited to age, sexual activity, pregnancy, childbearing, postpartum abstinence and amenorrhoea, contraceptive use and methods, marital status, place of residence, region of residence, wealth quintile and educational attainment.

Methodology

The Bongaarts framework explains why fertility cannot reach its potential full maximum through four principal proximate factors namely; contraception, marriage, induced abortion and postpartum infecundability^{4,5}. These combined factors were used to determine the proximate factors with huge effect on total fertility rate (*TFR*) and explain 96 per cent of the variation in fertility levels⁵. The original formulation of the Bongaarts model^{4,5}, which expresses *TFR* as a multiplicative function of proximate variables, has been used extensively for more than two decades and recently fine-tuned to accommodate for new data and evidence²². The revisions are explained in subsequent sections and the revised equations are expressed for the aggregate proximate determinants model as follows²²:

$$TFR = \sum C_m^*(a) \times C_c^*(a) \times C_i^*(a) \times C_a^*(a) \times f_f^*(a) \quad (1)$$

$$TFR = C_m^* \times C_c^* \times C_i^* \times C_a^* \times TF^* \quad (2)$$

Where $f_f^*(a)$ represents the revised fecundity rate at age (a) and TF^* the revised total fecundity rate. Thus, the asterisk * symbolises that the revisions were made on the original formulation of the Bongaarts model. On indices; C_m^* is the index of sexual exposure, C_c^* is the index of contraception use and effectiveness, C_a^* is the index of abortion, and C_i^* is the index of postpartum infecundability (due to amenorrhoea/breastfeeding or abstinence). The indices values range between 0 and 1. A zero represents the determinant is completely effective in inhibiting or reducing fertility and a value of 1

indicates no influence on fertility. The four indices were estimated as follows:

Index of marriage or sexual union (sexual exposure)

The risk of conceiving for women occurs in marriage or sexual unions and thus timing or duration in unions is a function of proportion of women sexually exposed and their age pattern: The index of sexual exposure is given as follows:

$$C_m^* = \sum C_m^*(a) \times w_m(a) \quad (3)$$

$$w_m(a) = f_m^*(a) / \sum f_m^*(a) \quad (4)$$

$$f_m^*(a) = C_c^*(a) \times C_i^*(a) \times C_a^*(a) \times f_f^*(a) \quad (5)$$

Where $f_m^*(a)$ refers to the fertility rate among sexually exposed women and $w_m(a)$ represents weighted age-specific fertility rates. The intended use of this index was to measure sexual exposure, but due to restrictive data, the index was limited to marital/cohabitation unions measurable using the original Bongaarts model^{5,19}. In Eswatini, conception often precedes marriage-a rather fluid concept defined as customary, civil or as a non-single event. Early sexual activity before marriage is high and often is coupled with high teenage fertility⁹ which is not atypical in the society as this proves women's marriageability or fecundity. According to Bongaarts²² apart from marriage or cohabitating, sexual activity and childbearing occurs also among unmarried (both never and formerly married) women who had conceived (currently pregnant), had sex in past month, abstained postpartum and currently using contraceptives.

Index of contraceptive use

This index is a function of prevalence of contraception use and the effectiveness of methods used. The index of contraception is expressed using the following formulae:

$$C_c^* = \sum C_c^*(a) \times w_c(a) \quad (6)$$

$$w_c(a) = f_n^*(a) / \sum f_n^*(a) \approx f_f^*(a) / \sum f_f^*(a) \quad (7)$$

$$f_n(a) = C_i^*(a) \times C_a^*(a) \times f_f^*(a) \quad (8)$$

Where $f_n^*(a)$ refers to naturally exposed fertility. The aggregate formula of contraception index is further expanded by Bongaarts²² as follows:

$$C_c^*(a) = 1 - r^*(a)[u^*(a) - o(a)]e^*(a) \quad (9)$$

Where $u^*(a)$ represents contraceptive prevalence for sexually exposed women, $o(a)$ refers to the overlap with postpartum infecundability, $e^*(a)$ is the average contraceptive effectiveness, and $r^*(a)$ is the fecundity adjustment. The estimate figures of the fecundity adjustment factor $r^*(a)$ and $\bar{f}_f(a)$ which represent the average of fecundity rates $f_n^*(a)$ have been estimated for a number of countries by Bongaarts²².

The index of contraception according to Bongaarts²² has been revised to exclude the overlap of contraceptive use with postpartum infecundability. The contraceptive use effectiveness rates applied in the study were taken from Trussell²³ as follows: female and male sterilisation (0.99), pill (0.91), intrauterine device (0.99), injectables (0.94), implants (0.99), male condom (0.82), female condom (0.79), rhythm/periodic abstinence (0.76), and withdrawal (0.78) and from Bongaarts⁵ others- lactational amenorrhoea and folk method (0.70).

Index of postpartum infecundability

The index represents the ratio of average birth interval when postpartum abstinence and lactational amenorrhoea are not practiced to average birth interval in the presence of postpartum abstinence and lactational amenorrhoea. In the absence of postpartum abstinence and lactation the average of 20 months is estimated as a sum of four components: 1.5 months infecundable period after birth, 7.5 months waiting time to conception, 2 months added due to

spontaneous intrauterine mortality and 9 months full term gestation⁴. The revised postpartum index by Bongaarts²² in equation 10 is given as equivalent to the original formulation of the index in equation 11 as follows:

$$C_i^* = \sum C_i^*(a) \times w_i(a) \approx C_i \quad (10)$$

$$C_i = 20 / (18.5 + i) \quad (11)$$

Where i refers to the average number of months of postpartum infecundability (due to postpartum amenorrhoea or abstinence). In this study, i was estimated as the median duration of postpartum infecundability compiled from StatCompiler for 2006-7 Eswatini DHS data²¹.

Index of induced abortion

The index of abortion can be estimated as equation 12 further simplified to equation 13.

$$C_a^* = \sum C_a^*(a) \times w_a(a) \quad (12)$$

$$C_a^* \approx TFR / (TFR + b^* \times TAR) \quad (13)$$

$$b^* = 14 / (18.5 + i(a)) \quad (14)$$

Where TAR represents the total abortion rate and b^* is the averted births per induced abortion. The value of 14 is the average reproductive time associated with an abortion, $18.5 + i(a)$ is the average reproductive time associated with a live birth, and $i(a)$ represents the average postpartum infecundability interval²². Data on abortion rates are incomplete and difficult to get and therefore Johnston and Westoff²⁰ developed a model used in this study for computing TAR as expressed below:

$$TAR = 3.63 - 0.33(MOD) + 0.009(TRAD) - 0.333(TFR) \quad (15)$$

Where MOD and $TRAD$ are the percentages of women currently using a modern contraceptive and traditional contraceptive, respectively. This procedure based on a regression approach is simple to implement and requires fewer (three) parameters than the one used by Bongaarts and Westoff²⁴ which take seven factors not easily collated in surveys. The computation of TAR is

sensitive to the variations in the direct measures such as contraceptive prevalence rate and TFR ²⁴. The TAR can be expressed as a rate per 1,000 women which is as an average abortion rate (AR) computed as²⁰:

$$AR = 1000 \times TAR / 35 \quad (16)$$

Thus, in this study the abortion index, C_a^* , and abortion rates were estimated for Eswatini. Alternative estimates of TAR at country level have been done by Bongaarts²² using the formula:

$$TAR = 30 \times AR / 1000 \quad (17)$$

In equation 17 abortion rates were assumed estimates for world sub-region by Sedgh *et al.*²⁵. For Southern Africa region, in which Eswatini belongs, the abortion rate for 2008 was estimated at 15 abortions per 1,000 women²⁵. This approach was not used for this study due to the rigidity of the estimate when variation at subpopulation is required. Thus, the regression approach equation 15 was preferred to equation 17 for the study.

Results

Respondents' characteristics

The characteristics of women respondents by their age and educational level are shown in Table 1. The results suggest that the distribution of women decreased with age. The proportion of younger women (less than 30 years) was 61.1%. The proportion of literate respondents with primary or higher education (32.7% and 59.3%, respectively) was substantially high (91.9%). This reflects low illiteracy among women in Eswatini.

Fertility levels by educational level

The estimates of observed total fertility rate (TFR) and predicted TFR are presented in Table 2 by educational level. Also shown are the total abortion rate (TAR) and abortion rate (AR) which are explained in later section. Fertility differences by educational level were observed. As expected, fertility decreased with increase in the level of education. The difference between observed TFR

and predicted TFR from the Bongaarts model signifies little fertility variation that is accounted for by other variables (such as, sterility, coital frequency) beside the key four proximate variables.

The role of proximate determinants on fertility in Eswatini

The average ages at first marriage and first sex as well as various estimates of reproductive behaviour derived from data required as inputs to the Bongaarts model: contraceptive use (u), contraceptive effectiveness (e), and median postpartum infecundability (i) are presented in Table 3. The impacts (or estimates) of the indices of sexual exposure, contraceptive use, postpartum infecundability and abortion are presented in Table 4. Overall, contraception, sexual activity, postpartum infecundability and abortion reduced fertility from potential maximum by 39%, 34%, 32% and 8%, respectively. The interpretation of these fertility behaviour estimates follows in the subsequent sections.

Induced abortion

Induced abortion was estimated using total abortion rates and translated into abortion rates. The abortion rate (AR) for Eswatini was estimated at 18.9 abortions per 1,000 women (Table 2). Abortion tended to vary substantial with educational status of the women. Abortion rates decreased with increase in educational level. Women with no education had higher abortion rate (28.9 abortions per 1,000 women) compared to educated women: 20.2 and 15.4 for primary and secondary/ higher, respectively (Table 2). Overall, the impact of induced abortion ($C_a=0.92$) on inhibiting fertility was the least among all the indices (Table 3). Although the abortion rates varied inversely with education, the fertility inhibiting effect of abortion was very similar across women's level of education ranging between 7-8%.

Contraception

Contraceptive prevalence was estimated at 55.6% for sexually active women aged 15-49 years. Overall, a positive association was observed

Table 1: Per cent distribution of women aged 15-49 by age and education, Eswatini 2007 DHS

Variable	Number	%
Age		
15-19	1,265	25.5
20-24	1,027	21.0
25-29	732	14.6
30-34	630	12.4
35-39	508	10.1
40-44	442	8.8
45-49	383	7.7
Education		
None	413	8.1
Primary	1,636	32.7
Secondary +	2,938	59.3

Source: Authors' calculations

Table 2: Estimates of the level of fertility measures by educational level, Eswatini 2007 DHS

	TFR	TFR _e	error	TAR	AR
Total	3.85	3.93	-0.08	0.66	18.9
Education					
None	4.93	5.28	-0.35	1.01	28.9
Primary	4.54	4.61	-0.07	0.71	20.2
Secondary+	3.39	3.51	-0.12	0.54	15.4

TFR= actual or observed total fertility rate

TAR= total abortion rate

AR=abortion rate per 1,000 women

TFR_e= predicted or estimated total fertility rate from the Bongaarts model

error=the difference between the predicted and actual total fertility rate

Source: Authors' calculations

between contraceptive use and educational level. The prevalence was lowest for illiterate women (34.7%) and almost twice higher (63.6%) among women with secondary or higher education. The overall average effectiveness of contraceptive use was estimated at 0.90 in Eswatini (Table 3). Contraception had an overall highest fertility reducing impact ($C_c=0.61$) implying an overall 39% impact on fertility inhibition (Table 4). A positive reducing effect of contraceptive use on fertility by educational level was observed. The findings suggest contraceptive use effect on fertility was least among women who had no education (25%) compared to women with a secondary and higher education (45%).

Postpartum infecundability

As prior mentioned, the duration of postpartum infecundability is due to postpartum amenorrhoea (breastfeeding) or sexual abstinence, and mostly the former. Table 3 results reflect small to moderate variation in the median duration practices of breastfeeding and sexual abstinence by educational status of women. Overall, postpartum infecundability was the third most important fertility inhibiting factor. The impact of postpartum infecundability was 32% at national level and showed a negative association with level of education (Table 4). This reflects the better educated breastfed less than those with little or no education. The inhibition due to postpartum infecundability was higher for illiterate women (38%) than for educated women (primary and secondary or above-32% and 29%, respectively).

Sexual exposure

Table 3 also presents means and medians of age at first marriage and age at first sexual intercourse. The results indicate that on average women began early as well before marriage being sexually active in Eswatini. The means or medians of age at first sexual intercourse were lower than for age at first marriage at national level and by educational levels (Table 3). Positive relationships between the level of education and age at first marriage as well as age at first sexual intercourse were observed. The educated women having secondary or higher education were more likely to marry later at 22 years compared to those with no education who on average married at 18 years. The educated women were also more likely to delay engaging in sexual intercourse than those with no formal schooling (18 vs. 15 years). Sexual exposure captures the risk of pregnancy for married, cohabitating and unmarried women. The fertility reducing effect of sexual activity was highest for better educated women with secondary and higher education ($C_m=0.63$) and least among those with no education ($C_m=0.80$). The fertility suppressive effect of sexual activity was less influential than of postpartum infecundability only among women with little (primary) or no education (Table 4).

Table 3: Estimates of reproductive characteristics of the respondents by social context and status, Eswatini 2007 DHS

	u	e	i	Age at sexual debut		Age at marriage	
				Mean	median	mean	median
Total	0.556	0.90	10.7	17.1 ± 2.6sd	17	21.1 ± 5.1sd	20
Education							
None	0.347	0.90	13.7	15.6 ± 2.6sd	15	19.6 ± 5.6sd	18
Primary	0.475	0.90	10.9	16.2 ± 2.0sd	16	20.0 ± 4.9sd	19
Secondary+	0.636	0.90	9.6	17.9 ± 2.6sd	18	22.3 ± 4.8sd	22

u = contraceptive prevalence rate (CPR) among women in sexual union.

i = median number of months of postpartum infecundability.

e = average use-effectiveness of contraception

sd = standard deviation

Age at first sexual intercourse computed from DHS variable v531

Age at first marriage computed from DHS variable v511.

Source: Authors' calculations and i is obtained from StatCompiler.

Table 4: Indices for proximate determinants of fertility by educational level, Eswatini 2007 DHS

	C_c	C_m	C_i	C_a	Fertility inhibiting effect (%) of index of:			
					C_c	C_m	C_i	C_a
Total	0.61	0.66	0.68	0.92	39	34	32	8
Education								
None	0.75	0.80	0.62	0.92	25	20	38	8
Primary	0.67	0.70	0.68	0.93	33	30	32	7
Secondary+	0.55	0.63	0.71	0.93	45	37	29	7

Source: Authors' calculations

Discussion

This study examined quantitatively fertility behaviour using the revised Bongaarts framework²² of his original formulation^{4,5} with some modifications by Johnston and Westoff²⁰ on abortion. The Bongaarts framework was applied to quantify the impact on fertility of each proximate determinant (contraception, postpartum infecundability, sexual activity, and abortion) at national and subpopulation variation by level of education- a proxy for status of women. Fertility differences are observed to be related to socioeconomic status amongst women in a country^{11,14,15}. Understanding fertility behaviour is important for policy formulation and programme implementation.

The study results as posited by the Bongaarts model confirm that the four key proximate fertility determinants had significant fertility inhibiting effect at national level and showed subpopulation variation by educational level in Eswatini. Overall, the fertility inhibiting

effects of contraceptive use was the most influential ($C_c=0.61$), followed by sexual activity ($C_m=0.66$) then postpartum infecundability ($C_i=0.68$) and abortion ($C_a=0.92$). In an earlier study for Eswatini, Warren *et al.*¹⁸ using the 1988 survey data found that postpartum infecundability ($C_i=0.66$) was most important, then marriage ($C_m=0.78$), contraception ($C_c=0.84$) and abortion was not estimated or considered of negligible effect. While earlier in 1988 postpartum infecundability was strongest and contraception less important in suppressing fertility for Eswatini, almost two decades later the two proximate factors had reversed their importance roles. The displacement of postpartum infecundability with contraception as the most influential fertility inhibiting factor stems from the promotion of family planning initiatives.

Of recent, contraceptive use has increased tremendously among women and has become the most anticipated method of choice to reduce

fertility in many developing countries^{8,26,27}. Contrary, prior in the 1970s and 1980s contraception had minimal impact on fertility reduction in SSA, although it was perceived as the most responsive policy variable with greatest potential for reducing fertility²⁸. In line with study findings, Eswatini is one country in sub-Saharan Africa with the highest demand to limit births and recently had the highest proportion of women contraceptive users⁸. Thus, contraceptive use is one of the most important determinants for continued fertility decline in Eswatini. Published survey reports for Eswatini indicate contraceptive prevalence in the early 1980s was at 4% at national level. Between 1988 and 2007 contraceptive prevalence increased markedly from 16.6% to 37.9%^{9, 29} and recently estimated at 66.1% in 2015¹⁰. This is a reflection of the commitment by the government of Eswatini to increase access and utilisation of contraceptives including use of condoms to reduce the prevalence of HIV/AIDS and its effects in the country^{9,18,29}.

The study found that contraceptive use (and its fertility inhibiting impact) increased with the level of education – a proxy variable for social status of women. This is consistent with study findings from several studies^{26,27}, supporting high correlation of contraceptive use among women with better education. However, contrary results have also been found in these studies and therefore temporal changes and context matters on the role of importance of proximate factors on fertility.

Although less important than contraception, postpartum infecundability has remained strong in limiting fertility in Eswatini as well as in SSA^{18,26,28,30}. Previously, its impact was strongest when contraception was low in Eswatini¹⁸ and elsewhere in Africa especially in the 1970s to 1990s^{28,30}. Onuoha²⁸ hypothesised the high impact of postpartum infecundability on suppressing fertility in Africa in the 1990s had then its ceiling effect and would not increase in future. The impact of postpartum infecundability on fertility may have been weakened due to either use of contraceptives when breastfeeding or when the period of sexual abstinence is reduced through noncompliance to sexual taboos. Variation of postpartum infecundability and its impact on fertility is important. The results indicate

postpartum infecundability had the strongest impact on fertility among uneducated women and least impact among women with secondary or higher education. Among the educated women this lower effect can be compensated by their increased use of contraceptives which serve the same purpose of inhibiting fertility.

Overall, sexual activity in formal marriage and consensual sexual union is the second most influential proximate factor in limiting fertility. The study found out that significant childbearing occurs within and without marriage in Eswatini since fertility rates for sexually exposed women were high. Variation of sexual activity with educational attainment was observed. Early sexual experiences for women tended to occur among those with little (primary) or no education. Delayed sexual activity (including marriage) contributed significantly to low fertility among educated women. In line with expectations, the impact of sexual non-activity was higher for women with secondary or higher education compared to those with those with little or no education. The current government policies on compulsory free primary education and introduction of sexual literacy in educational syllabus should be strengthened and evaluated to further delay early marriages, increase use of contraceptives and eventually reduce fertility levels.

Abortion exerts the least influence on fertility amongst the proximate determinants. A prior study in Eswatini supposed that abortion was commonly practiced in the societies¹⁸, although quantitatively its impact was unknown and assumed negligible. Contrary, the study found that the level of abortion was significant ($AR = 18.9$ abortions per 1000 women) in Eswatini. This finding was within the range of SSA estimates in the same period between 2006-7^{20,31}; the TAR/AR (0.7/18.9) for Eswatini was higher than for Zimbabwe (0.5/14.3) but lower than for Uganda (1.3/37.1). The differences of abortion rates and impact of abortion on fertility by women's educational status were observed. Important and consistent with findings in SSA³¹, abortion rates were higher for uneducated women than educated women contrary to Westoff³¹ findings for SSA. Westoff found that uneducated women had lower

TAR/AR (1.2/34.3) than those with secondary or better education (1.6/45.7).

In general, abortion in Eswatini tend to be high, which according to Majumder and Ram²⁷ reflects difficulty in access to contraceptives for women or a high unmet need for family planning. In support of this view, the unmet need is high although it declined with educational level in Eswatini- 32.3%, 29.9% and 19.7% for none, primary and secondary or higher education, respectively⁹. Unsafe abortion could be commonly practised in Eswatini since abortion is illegal, unless in case of rape or incest, causing maternal health risk with severe implications on reproductive health or mortality.

In overview, fertility decline in Eswatini was shaped, in order of importance, by contraceptive use, sexual activity (including marriage), postpartum infecundability and induced abortion. The importance and large influence of contraception, in particular modern contraceptives are recent. Early family planning programme emphasis in Eswatini and elsewhere in Africa had its major focus on breastfeeding (or postpartum) practices revising fertility downwards.

This study also shows the important role of proximate determinants of fertility was influenced by women's level of education –a proxy for social status or class. Low social status tends to highly correlate with high fertility behaviour. In case of Eswatini, uneducated women had the least contraception and high fertility. In contrast, those better educated (at secondary or higher level) had the highest uptake of contraceptives and low fertility. Therefore, this study maintains the position by Jain and Ross² of the negative influence of education on reproductive behaviour.

The empowering of women's status through education in particular as noted by Caldwell and Caldwell¹² has huge negative implications on fertility. Fertility decline in Eswatini is seen associated with high uptake of contraceptives. There is still much more potential for further fertility decline through adoption of improved and much more efficient modern contraceptives. Policies on sexual activity (including marriage), abortion, contraception and postpartum infecundability (including

breastfeeding) need to account for nuanced subpopulation educational variations, especially greater attention should be paid to disadvantaged illiterate women to reduce their high fertility practices.

Apart from the four biological and behavioural proximate determinants of fertility accounting for much variation in fertility, other demographic and socioeconomic factors both at individual and community level that might have a bearing on fertility need to be investigated. These factors may need to be extended further than the aggregate model used in this study to look at women's fertility variation at individual level using appropriate statistical regressions techniques.

Conclusion

This study showed the proximate determinants of fertility play a major role in reducing fertility and can be manipulated to achieve sustained fertility decline in Eswatini. Increasing uptake of contraceptives, delaying sexual activity or marriage, and encouraging breastfeeding among women should be a priority to suppress fertility. Abortion remains illegal under normal circumstances but can be reduced with use of effective contraceptive methods. The government needs to further strengthen policies and efforts that aim to improve the educational status of women since they have a positive influence on fertility behaviour.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the Central Statistics Office in Eswatini and Macro International Inc. for the data used for this study. The corresponding author acknowledges the Demography and Population Studies Programme at the University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa for support of this manuscript, which is an extract of a doctoral thesis. This work is based on the research supported in part by the National Research Foundation of South Africa (Grant Numbers: 105931).

Contribution of Authors

GBC and COO participated in the conception and design of the study. GBC analysed data and

drafted the initial manuscript. COO provided methodological guidance of the study and assisted in data analysis and interpretation of results. All authors participated in revising the manuscript. All authors approved the final manuscript and declare that they have no competing interest.

References

- Upadhyay UD and Karasek D. Women's Empowerment and Ideal Family Size: An Examination of DHS Empowerment Measures In Sub-Saharan Africa. *International Perspectives on Sexual and Reproductive Health* 2012; 38(2): 78-89.
- Jain AK and Ross JA. Fertility Differences Among Developing Countries: Are They Still Related to Family Planning Program Efforts and Social Settings? *International Perspectives on Sexual and Reproductive Health* 2012; 38(1): 15-22.
- Davis K and Blake J. Social structure and fertility: An analytic framework. *Economic Development and Cultural Change* 1956; 4(4): 211-35.
- Bongaarts J. A Framework for Analyzing the Proximate Determinants of Fertility. *Population and Development Review* 1978; 4(1): 105-32.
- Bongaarts J. The Fertility-Inhibiting Effects of the Intermediate Fertility Variables. *Studies in Family Planning* 1982; 13(6/7): 179-89.
- United Nations. Programme of Action of the International Conference on Population Development: 20th Anniversary Edition. New York: United Nations Population Fund [UNFPA]; 2014.
- Odimegwu CO and Adedini SA. Gender equity and fertility intention in selected sub-Saharan African countries. *Gender & Behaviour* 2014; 12(2): 5858-81.
- Van Lith LM, Yahner M and Bakamjian L. Women's growing desire to limit births in sub-Saharan Africa: meeting the challenge. *Global Health: Science and Practice* 2013; 1(1): 97-107.
- CSO. Swaziland Demographic and Health Survey 2006–07. Mbabane: Central Statistical Office [CSO] and Macro International Inc 2008.
- CSO. Swaziland Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey 2014. Key Findings. Mbabane, Swaziland: Central Statistical Office [CSO] 2015.
- Upadhyay UD, Gipson JD, Withers M, Lewis S, Ciaraldi EJ, Fraser A, Huchko MJ and Prata N. Women's empowerment and fertility: A review of the literature. *Social Science & Medicine* 2014; (115): 111-20.
- Caldwell JC and Caldwell P. Africa: The New Family Planning Frontier. *Studies in Family Planning* 2002; 33(1): 76-86.
- Bongaarts J, Frank O and Lesthaeghe RJ. The proximate determinants of fertility in sub-Saharan Africa. *Population and Development Review* 1984; 10(3): 511-37.
- Kravdal Ø. Education and Fertility in Sub-Saharan Africa: Individual and Community Effects. *Demography* 2002; 39(2): 233-50.
- Garenne M. Education and Fertility in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Longitudinal Perspective. Calverton, Maryland, USA: ICF International; 2012.
- Tabutin D and Schoumaker B. The Demography of Sub-Saharan Africa from the 1950s to the 2000s. A Survey of Changes and a Statistical Assessment. *Population (English Edition, 2002-)* 2004; 59(3/4): 457-555.
- Cleland J and Wilson C. Demand theories of the fertility transition: An iconoclastic view. *Population Studies* 1987; 41(1): 5-30.
- Warren CW, Johnson JT, Gule G, Hlophe E and Kraushaar D. The Determinants of Fertility in Swaziland. *Population Studies* 1992; 46(1): 5-17.
- Stover J. Revising the Proximate Determinants of Fertility Framework: What Have We Learned in the past 20 Years? *Studies in Family Planning* 1998; 29(3): 255-67.
- Johnston H and Westoff C. Examples of Model-Based Approaches to Estimating Abortion. In: Singh S, Remez L, Tartaglione A, eds. *Methodologies for Estimating Abortion Incidence and Abortion-Related Morbidity: A Review*. New York: Guttmacher Institute; and Paris: International Union for the Scientific Study of Population 2010:49-62.
- ICF. The DHS Program STATcompiler. Funded by USAID. 2012. <http://www.statcompiler.com>.
- Bongaarts J. Modeling the fertility impact of the proximate determinants: Time for a tune-up. *Demographic Research* 2015; 33(19): 535-60.
- Trussell J. Contraceptive efficacy. In: Hatcher R, Trussell J, Nelson A, Cates W, Kowal D, Policar M, eds. *Contraceptive Technology: Twentieth Revised Edition*. New York NY: Ardent Media 2011:779-863.
- Bongaarts J and Westoff CF. The potential role of contraception in reducing abortion. *Studies in Family Planning* 2000; 31(3): 193-202.
- Sedgh G, Singh S, Shah IH, Ahman E, Henshaw SK and Bankole A. Induced abortion: incidence and trends worldwide from 1995 to 2008. *Lancet* 2012; 379: 625-32.
- Johnson K, Abderrahim N and Rutstein SO. Changes in the Direct and Indirect Determinants of Fertility in Sub-Saharan Africa. DHS Analytical Studies No. 23. Calverton, Maryland, USA: ICF Macro; 2011.
- Majumder N and Ram F. Explaining the Role of Proximate Determinants on Fertility Decline among Poor and Non-Poor in Asian Countries. *PLoS ONE* 2015; 10(2): e0115441.
- Onuoha N. Contributions of the proximate determinants to fertility change in Senegal. *Soc Sci Med* 1992; 35(10): 1317-20.
- Ministry of Health. Swaziland 1988 Family Health Survey: Final Report. Atlanta, Georgia: Centers for Disease Control; 1990 19/07/2013.

Chemhaka and Odimegwu

30. Odimegwu CO and Zerai A. Understanding the proximate determinants of fertility of a Nigerian ethnic group. *Genus* 1996; 52(3/4): 67-87.

Proximate Determinants of Fertility

31. Westoff CF. *A New Approach to Estimating Abortion Rates*. Calverton, Maryland, USA: Macro International Inc; 2008.